

## EFFECT OF COUPLING FACTOR 3 ON OXIDATIVE PHOSPHORYLATION\*

J. M. Fessenden,<sup>‡</sup> M. A. Dannenberg, and E. Racker

Department of Biochemistry, The Public Health Research Institute  
of the City of New York, Inc., New York, New York 10009

Received August 23, 1966

Submitochondrial particles prepared by exposing mitochondria to sonic oscillation either in the presence of phosphatides (Conover *et al.*, 1963) or at pH 9.2 (Fessenden and Racker, 1966) require addition of  $F_1^1$  and  $F_4$  for oxidative phosphorylation. After prolonged dialysis of the  $F_4$  preparation at an alkaline pH, a partial dependency on  $F_2$  also became apparent (Fessenden and Racker, 1966).  $F_3$  which stimulates the  $^{32}P_i$ -ATP exchange (Racker, 1962) as well as the ATP-dependent reduction of DPN by succinate (Conover *et al.*, 1963) has no apparent effect on oxidative phosphorylation in the presence of  $F_1$  and  $F_4$ .

It is the purpose of this communication to show that  $F_3$  substitutes for  $F_4$  in stimulating oxidative phosphorylation in A-particles. With succinate as substrate P:O ratios in excess of 1.0 can be obtained with A-particles in the presence of  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$ . These experiments support the earlier suggestion (Conover *et al.*, 1963) that  $F_4$  which has the properties of a structural protein (Zalkin and Racker, 1965) may stimulate oxidative phosphorylation by supplying firmly attached coupling factors. It will be shown that  $F_2$  in contrast to  $F_1$ ,  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  does not stimulate the ATP-dependent reduction of DPN by succinate.

---

\* This work was supported by Public Health Service Research Grant CA-03463-08 from the National Cancer Institute and by Grant DA-AMC-18-035-70 (A) from the United States Army Chemical Center Procurement Agency.

‡ Postdoctoral Fellow of the American Cancer Society.

<sup>1</sup> The abbreviations used are:  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $F_3$ , and  $F_4$ : coupling factor 1 (ATPase), coupling factor 2, coupling factor 3, and coupling factor 4, respectively; A-particles: particles exposed to sonic oscillations in the presence of ammonia at pH 9.2.

## RESULTS

A-particles and  $F_2$  (Fessenden and Racker, 1966),  $F_1$  (Pullman *et al.*, 1960),  $F_3$  (Fessenden and Racker, in press),  $F_4$  (Conover *et al.*, 1963) were prepared as described in the references. Measurements of oxidative phosphorylation and of related reactions were carried out as described (Fessenden and Racker, 1966) with the modifications specified in the legends of the tables.

It can be seen from Table I that in the presence of  $F_1$  addition of  $F_3$  almost completely replaced the requirement of A-particles for  $F_4$ . Addition of  $F_2$  without  $F_3$  had little or no effect on the P:O ratio, but in the presence of  $F_3$  there was a small but consistent stimulation by  $F_2$ . In many experiments the P:O ratio was doubled by addition of  $F_2$ . Addition of  $F_4$  to particles supplemented with  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  gave no further increase in the P:O ratio. After extensive dialysis of  $F_4$  (over 70 hours at pH 10.5) most of its coupling factor activity was lost without apparent damage to the structural protein as

TABLE I

Effect of  $F_3$  on oxidative phosphorylation

A-particles (540  $\mu$ g) were incubated in the presence of 30  $\mu$ moles succinate, 2  $\mu$ moles  $MgSO_4$ , 2  $\mu$ moles ATP, and 40  $\mu$ g  $F_1$ . Where indicated 200  $\mu$ g  $F_2$ , 307  $\mu$ g  $F_3$  and 400  $\mu$ g  $F_4$  were added. Incubation conditions and assay procedures were as described previously (Fessenden and Racker, 1966).

Addition of factors to A-particles	$\mu$ atoms O	$\mu$ moles Glucose-6- $^{32}$ P	P:O
$F_1$	3.4	0.835	0.24
$F_1 + F_4$	3.4	3.50	1.03
$F_1 + F_2$	4.1	1.24	0.3
$F_1 + F_3$	4.2	3.75	0.9
$F_1 + F_2 + F_3$	3.1	4.23	1.36

measured by a reconstitution of the oligomycin-sensitive ATPase.<sup>2</sup> It thus appears likely that the stimulation of oxidative phosphorylation in A-particles by  $F_4$  is due to the presence of at least two coupling factors ( $F_2$  and  $F_3$ ).

As shown in Table II,  $F_3$  stimulated oxidative phosphorylation at site 1, site 3 and the phosphorylation associated with succinate oxidation (site 2 + site 3). These results suggest that  $F_3$  is required at each of the three phosphorylation sites. Small but significant stimulations by  $F_2$  were also noted.

TABLE II

Effect of  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  on the three phosphorylation sites

A-particles (430  $\mu$ g) were incubated with 39  $\mu$ moles  $F_4$ , pH 7.4, 2  $\mu$ moles ATP, 2  $\mu$ moles  $MgSO_4$  and 40  $\mu$ g  $F_1$ . Where indicated 200  $\mu$ g  $F_2$  and 300  $\mu$ g  $F_3$  were added. Incubation conditions and assay procedures were as described previously (Fessenden and Racker, 1966, Schatz and Racker, 1966).

Factor additions to A-particles	DPNH	CoQ <sub>1</sub>	Succinate	O <sub>2</sub>	Ascorbate	O <sub>2</sub>
	$\mu$ moles DPNH oxidized	P:O*	$\mu$ atoms O	P:O	$\mu$ atoms O	P:O
$F_1$	95	0.03	3.3	0.11	4.1	0.04
$F_1 + F_2$	97	0.04	3.9	0.18	3.7	0.02
$F_1 + F_3$	93	0.24	4.1	0.80	4.3	0.39
$F_1 + F_2 + F_3$	95	0.36	4.1	1.10	3.8	0.53

\* Determined as glucose-6-<sup>32</sup>P : DPNH ratio.

The experiment in Table III shows that  $F_2$  stimulates the  $^{32}P_1$ -ATP exchange reaction even in the presence of large amounts of  $F_3$ . This indicated that a partial separation of these two factors has been obtained.

<sup>2</sup> Unpublished experiments by B. Bulos showed that extensively dialyzed  $F_4$  preparations still restored to  $F_0$  preparations depleted in  $F_4$  (Kagawa and Racker, 1966) the ability to confer oligomycin sensitivity to added ATPase. Neither preparations of  $F_3$  or  $F_2$  nor combinations of both substituted for dialyzed  $F_4$ .

TABLE III

Effect of  $F_3$  and  $F_2$  on the  $^{32}P_1$ -ATP exchange

A-particles (410  $\mu$ g) were incubated as described in Table II except that 180  $\mu$ g  $F_2$  and 240  $\mu$ g  $F_3$  were used. The exchange reaction was measured as described previously (Fessenden and Racker, 1966).

Factor additions to A-particles	$\mu$ moles $AT^{32}P$ formed/ 10 min/mg protein
$F_1$	0.06
$F_1 + F_2$	0.30
$F_1 + F_3$	1.05
$F_1 + F_2 + F_3$	2.20

TABLE IV

Effect of coupling factors on the ATP-dependent reduction of DPN by succinate

A-particles (275  $\mu$ g) were incubated in a quartz cuvette at room temperature in a final volume of 0.6 ml containing the following reagents: 150  $\mu$ moles Tris-sulfate, pH 7.5, 10  $\mu$ moles  $MgSO_4$ , 1.5 mg dialyzed bovine serum albumin, 15  $\mu$ moles Tris-succinate, pH 7.5, and where indicated 10  $\mu$ g  $F_1$ , 100  $\mu$ g  $F_2$  and 200  $\mu$ g  $F_3$ . After 10 min, 2.33 ml of distilled water, 0.02 ml of 0.3 M  $Na_2S$  and 0.02 ml of 0.03 M DPN were added. The reaction was started 1-2 min after the DPN addition with 0.02 ml of 0.2 M ATP. The appearance of fluorescence due to DPNH formation was measured in an Eppendorf fluorometer as described previously (Prairie et al., 1963).

Factor additions to A-particles	$\mu$ moles DPNH formed/ min/mg protein
None	2.9
$F_1$	5.5
$F_3$	3.6
$F_2$	13.0
$F_1 + F_2$	11.9
$F_1 + F_3$	81.1
$F_1 + F_3 + F_2$	80.8

In Table IV the effect of  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  on the ATP-dependent reduction of DPN by succinate is shown. It can be seen that A-particles exhibit high rates of DPN reduction in the presence of both  $F_1$  and  $F_3$ . Omission of either factor resulted in over 90% loss of activity. Addition of  $F_2$  together with  $F_1$  and  $F_3$  had no effect on the rate of DPN reduction.

$F_2$  had no effect on the DPN reduction by succinate in the presence of  $F_1$  and  $F_3$ . The partial stimulation by  $F_2$  alone suggests this factor may be either involved in the formation of a non-phosphorylated high-energy intermediate or may perhaps help to preserve it as proposed for oligomycin (Racker and Monroy, 1964, Lee et al., 1964).

#### DISCUSSION

The stimulation of oxidative phosphorylation in submitochondrial particles by addition of rather insoluble preparations of  $F_4$  was always difficult to understand. Possible explanations, e.g., that the structural protein supplies a soluble coupling factor (Conover et al., 1963) or that it may counteract an inhibitor (Zalkin and Racker, 1965) were presented without direct evidence. The demonstration of the replacement of  $F_4$  by two soluble coupling factors,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$ , not only provides the necessary evidence for the first alternative but helps to explain numerous examples of experimental variabilities which were due to varying contaminations by the two factors.

The difficulties encountered in removing  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  activity from  $F_4$  indicate that these proteins are firmly bound to  $F_4$ . Binding of succinate dehydrogenase to  $F_4$  was also observed<sup>3</sup> whereas several other proteins such as  $F_1$ , glucose-6-P dehydrogenase and lactate dehydrogenase were not bound. Contamination of  $F_4$  with  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  may account entirely for its stimulatory effect on oxidative phosphorylation. On the other hand experimental evidence<sup>2</sup> points to an additional function of  $F_4$  (Kagawa and Racker, 1966). The appar-

---

<sup>3</sup> A. Bruni, unpublished experiments.

ently specific binding of certain mitochondrial proteins to  $F_4$  would be in line with such a view. Yet another possibility is that  $F_4$  is a polymerized product of  $F_3$ , but loses the ability to depolymerize after prolonged dialysis. In line with this notion is the experimental observation of a progressive loss of solubility during purification of  $F_3$  (Fessenden and Racker, in press).

The properties of factor B described by Lam et al., (1966) suggest that it may be identical with  $F_3$ .

#### REFERENCES

- Conover, T. E., Prairie, R. L., and Racker, E., J. Biol. Chem. 238, 2831 (1963).  
Fessenden, J. M., and Racker, E., J. Biol. Chem. 241, 2483 (1966).  
Fessenden, J. M., and Racker, E., in Methods in Enzymology X (S. P. Colowick and N. O. Kaplan, Eds.): Oxidation and Phosphorylation (R. W. Estabrook and M. E. Pullman, Eds.), Academic Press, Inc., New York (in press).  
Kagawa, Y., and Racker, E., J. Biol. Chem. 241, 2467 (1966).  
Lam, K. W., Warshaw, J. B., and Sanadi, D. R., Fed. Proc. 25, 529 (1966).  
Lee, C. P., Azzone, G. F., and Ernster, L., Nature 201, 150 (1964).  
Pullman, M. E., Penefsky, H. S., Datta, A., and Racker, E., J. Biol. Chem. 235, 3322 (1960).  
Prairie, R. L., Conover, T. E., and Racker, E., Biochem. Biophys. Research Commun. 10, 422 (1963).  
Racker, E., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. 48, 1659 (1962).  
Racker, E., and Monroy, G., Abstracts, VI International Congress of Biochem. (New York, July 26-Aug. 1, 1964), X (Bioenergetics), 813, p. 760  
Schatz, G., and Racker, E., J. Biol. Chem. 241, 1429 (1966).  
Zalkin, H., and Racker, E., J. Biol. Chem. 240, 4017 (1965).